

PROBLEM SOLVING VIGNETTES

No.6

Shawn Godin

Repdigit Recreations

In this issue we will look at a couple of problems from the course C&O 380 that I took from Ross Honsberger that has been featured in previous columns. The next set of problems are:

- #16. A is the integer $666 \cdots 66$, containing 666 sixes. B is the integer $333 \cdots 33$, containing 666 threes. State the value of AB .
- #17. Show that a positive integer, with more than one digit, all of whose digits are the same, cannot be a perfect square.
- #18. Show that the sum of the squares of 83 consecutive natural numbers is never a perfect square.
- #19. Devise a method of trisecting a given line segment, using only straight-edge and compasses, which does not involve parallel lines.
- #20. Construct an equilateral triangle so that it has one vertex on each of three given parallel lines.

Problems #16 and #17 both deal with *repdigit* numbers. That is, numbers that are comprised of a single digit repeated a number of times. Don Rideout, in problem #3 of his vignette [2019: 45(3), p. 120], looked at *repunit* numbers, that is, repdigit numbers made up of only the digit 1. We will run into a couple of the properties of these numbers as we solve the two problems. We will look at #17 first.

How do we know if a number is a perfect square? Looking at the first few squares we start to see a pattern in the units digit.

$1^2 = 1$	$2^2 = 4$	$3^2 = 9$	$4^2 = 16$	$5^2 = 25$
$6^2 = 36$	$7^2 = 49$	$8^2 = 64$	$9^2 = 81$	$10^2 = 100$
$11^2 = 121$	$12^2 = 144$	$13^2 = 169$	$14^2 = 196$	$15^2 = 225$
$16^2 = 256$	$17^2 = 289$	$18^2 = 324$	$9^2 = 361$	$20^2 = 400$

The unit digits follow the pattern

$$1, 4, 9, 6, 5, 6, 9, 4, 1, 0, 1, 4, 9, \dots$$

If we use modular arithmetic, as in some recent columns, we would get the following:

$n \pmod{10}$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
$n^2 \pmod{10}$	0	1	4	9	6	5	6	9	4	1

which tells us the same thing: the units digit of a perfect square is 0, 1, 4, 5, 6, or 9. Hence the repdigit numbers $222 \dots 22$, $333 \dots 33$, $777 \dots 77$, and $888 \dots 88$ cannot be perfect squares.

We write the other repdigit numbers in the form

$$\begin{aligned} 111 \dots 11 &= 1 \times 111 \dots 11 \\ 444 \dots 44 &= 4 \times 111 \dots 11 \\ 555 \dots 55 &= 5 \times 111 \dots 11 \\ 666 \dots 66 &= 6 \times 111 \dots 11 \\ 999 \dots 99 &= 9 \times 111 \dots 11. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly $555 \dots 55$ is not a perfect square since $5 \mid 555 \dots 55$, but $5 \nmid 111 \dots 11$. Similarly, $666 \dots 66$ is not a perfect square.

The remaining three candidates are written as a perfect square times $111 \dots 11$. Thus if $111 \dots 11$ is a perfect square, then so is $444 \dots 44$ and $999 \dots 99$. If $111 \dots 11$ is not a perfect square then neither are $444 \dots 44$ and $999 \dots 99$.

To determine if $111 \dots 11$ is a perfect square we will go back to modular arithmetic and look at numbers modulo 4.

$n \pmod{4}$	0	1	2	3
$n^2 \pmod{4}$	0	1	0	1

So if a number is a perfect square it must be congruent to 0 or 1 modulo 4. Taking into account that $4 \mid 100$ and hence $4 \mid 10^n$ when $n \geq 2$ (so $10^n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$) we get

$$111 \dots 11 \equiv 11 \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$$

and so $111 \dots 11$ is not a perfect square and therefore no repdigit number, of more than one digit, is a perfect square.

Next, we will look at problem #16. A few computations suggest a pattern:

$$\begin{aligned} 6 \times 3 &= 18 & 66 \times 33 &= 2178 \\ 666 \times 333 &= 221778 & 6666 \times 3333 &= 22217778 \\ 66666 \times 33333 &= 2222177778 & 666666 \times 333333 &= 222221777778 \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\underbrace{666 \dots 66}_{n \text{ 6s}} \times \underbrace{333 \dots 33}_{n \text{ 3s}} = \underbrace{222 \dots 22}_{n-1 \text{ 2s}} \underbrace{1777 \dots 778}_{n-1 \text{ 7s}}. \quad (1)$$

It is one thing to see a pattern and be certain it is true. It is another thing to *prove* that the pattern does indeed hold. The pattern seems to call out for mathematical induction like we saw in the last issue [2019: 45(5), p. 236-240].

To make our lives easier, we will introduce the sequence of repunit numbers

$$\{U_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} = \{1, 11, 111, 1111, \dots\}.$$

Our proposition that we would like to prove is

$$P_n : (6U_n)(3U_n) = 10^n[2U_n - 1] + 7U_n + 1. \quad (2)$$

You may want to convince yourself that (2) is equivalent to (1).

To aid us in our proof we will need the following properties of the repunit numbers:

$$10 \times U_n + 1 = U_{n+1} \quad (3)$$

$$U_a + 10^a \times U_b = U_{a+b} \quad (4)$$

We leave the proofs of these as exercises. Now on to our proof by induction.

If we look at P_1 , we get

$$(6U_1)(3U_1) = 6 \times 3 = 18$$

and

$$10^1[2U_1 - 1] + 7U_1 + 1 = 10 \times (2 - 1) + 7 + 1 = 18$$

so the proposition is true for $n = 1$.

Suppose P_n is true for some $n = k \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$(6U_k)(3U_k) = 10^k[2U_k - 1] + 7U_k + 1. \quad (5)$$

So, using (3) we get

$$\begin{aligned} (6U_{k+1})(3U_{k+1}) &= (6(10U_k + 1))(3(10U_k + 1)) \\ &= 100((6U_k)(3U_k)) + 360U_k + 18 \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

Combining (5) with (6) yields

$$\begin{aligned} (6U_{k+1})(3U_{k+1}) &= 100(10^k[2U_k - 1] + 7U_k + 1) + 360U_k + 18 \\ &= 10^{k+2}[2U_k - 1] + 1060U_k + 118 \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Breaking the right side of (7) into two parts and using the properties (3) and (4), yields

$$\begin{aligned} 10^{k+2}[2U_k - 1] &= 10^{k+1}[2(10U_k + 1 - 1) - 10] \\ &= 10^{k+1}[2(U_{k+1} - 1) - 10] \\ &= 10^{k+1}[2U_{k+1} - 12] \\ &= 10^{k+1}[2U_{k+1} - 1 - 11] \\ &= 10^{k+1}[2U_{k+1} - 1] - 11 \times 10^{k+1} \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 1060U_k + 118 &= 1000U_k + 60U_k + 118 \\
 &= 1000(U_{k-2} + 10^{k-2}U_2) + 60(U_2 + 10^2U_{k-2}) + 118 \\
 &= 7000U_{k-2} + 11 \times 10^{k+1} + 778 \\
 &= 7000U_{k-2} + 777 + 1 + 11 \times 10^{k+1} \\
 &= 7U_{k+1} + 1 + 11 \times 10^{k+1}
 \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Putting (8) and (9) back into (7) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 (6U_{k+1})(3U_{k+1}) &= 10^{k+1}[2U_{k+1} - 1] - 11 \times 10^{k+1} + 7U_{k+1} + 1 + 11 \times 10^{k+1} \\
 &= 10^{k+1}[2U_{k+1} - 1] + 7U_{k+1} + 1
 \end{aligned}$$

which shows that P_{k+1} is true and completes the induction. So for the problem at hand we have

$$\overbrace{666 \cdots 66}^{666 \text{ 6s}} \times \overbrace{333 \cdots 33}^{666 \text{ 3s}} = \overbrace{222 \cdots 22}^{665 \text{ 2s}} \overbrace{1777 \cdots 77}^{665 \text{ 7s}} 8.$$

It is nice to have an opportunity to use a new tool, but it is always nice to find a slick solution such as

$$\begin{aligned}
 \overbrace{666 \cdots 66}^{666 \text{ 6s}} \times \overbrace{333 \cdots 33}^{666 \text{ 3s}} &= 6 \times 3 \times \overbrace{111 \cdots 11}^{666 \text{ 1s}} \times \overbrace{111 \cdots 11}^{666 \text{ 1s}} \\
 &= 9 \times 2 \times \overbrace{111 \cdots 11}^{666 \text{ 1s}} \times \overbrace{111 \cdots 11}^{666 \text{ 1s}} \\
 &= \overbrace{999 \cdots 99}^{666 \text{ 9s}} \times \overbrace{222 \cdots 22}^{666 \text{ 2s}} \\
 &= (10^{666} - 1) \times \overbrace{222 \cdots 22}^{666 \text{ 2s}} \\
 &= \overbrace{222 \cdots 22}^{666 \text{ 2s}} \overbrace{000 \cdots 00}^{666 \text{ 0s}} - \overbrace{222 \cdots 22}^{666 \text{ 2s}} \\
 &= \overbrace{222 \cdots 22}^{665 \text{ 2s}} \overbrace{1777 \cdots 77}^{665 \text{ 7s}} 8.
 \end{aligned}$$

The biggest hammer isn't always the best tool for the job.

A little manipulation tells us that the repdigit number $\overbrace{ddd \cdots dd}^{n \text{ ds}}$, where $d \in \{1, 2, \dots, 9\}$ can be written as

$$\overbrace{ddd \cdots dd}^{n \text{ ds}} = \frac{d}{9} \left(\overbrace{999 \cdots 99}^{n \text{ 9s}} \right) = \frac{d}{9} (10^n - 1)$$

which make sense as

$$\overbrace{ddd \cdots dd}^{n \text{ ds}} = d + 10d + 100d + \cdots + d \times 10^{n-1}$$

is a geometric series.

Enjoy the rest of the problems from the problem set, and you may enjoy the following problem from the vault:

Find a quadratic polynomial $f(x)$ such that, if n is a positive integer consisting of the digit 5 repeated k times, then $f(n)$ consists of the digit 5 repeated $2k$ times. (For example, $f(555) = 555555$.)

This was Mayhem problem M256 that appeared in [2006: 32(5), p. 265-266] and the solution is in [2007: 33(5), p. 271] which can be generalized to

Find a degree d polynomial $f(x)$ such that, if n is a positive integer consisting of the digit 5 repeated k times, then $f(n)$ consists of the digit 5 repeated dk times.

