

45<sup>th</sup> Canadian Mathematical Olympiad

Wednesday, March 27, 2013



---

**Problems and Solutions**

1. Determine all polynomials  $P(x)$  with real coefficients such that

$$(x + 1)P(x - 1) - (x - 1)P(x)$$

is a constant polynomial.

**Solution 1:** The answer is  $P(x)$  being any constant polynomial and  $P(x) \equiv kx^2 + kx + c$  for any (nonzero) constant  $k$  and constant  $c$ .

Let  $\Lambda$  be the expression  $(x + 1)P(x - 1) - (x - 1)P(x)$ , i.e. the expression in the problem statement.

Substituting  $x = -1$  into  $\Lambda$  yields  $2P(-1)$  and substituting  $x = 1$  into  $\Lambda$  yield  $2P(0)$ . Since  $(x + 1)P(x - 1) - (x - 1)P(x)$  is a constant polynomial,  $2P(-1) = 2P(0)$ . Hence,  $P(-1) = P(0)$ .

Let  $c = P(-1) = P(0)$  and  $Q(x) = P(x) - c$ . Then  $Q(-1) = Q(0) = 0$ . Hence,  $0, -1$  are roots of  $Q(x)$ . Consequently,  $Q(x) = x(x + 1)R(x)$  for some polynomial  $R$ . Then  $P(x) - c = x(x + 1)R(x)$ , or equivalently,  $P(x) = x(x + 1)R(x) + c$ .

Substituting this into  $\Lambda$  yield

$$(x + 1)((x - 1)xR(x - 1) + c) - (x - 1)(x(x + 1)R(x) + c)$$

This is a constant polynomial and simplifies to

$$x(x - 1)(x + 1)(R(x - 1) - R(x)) + 2c.$$

Since this expression is a constant, so is  $x(x-1)(x+1)(R(x-1) - R(x))$ . Therefore,  $R(x-1) - R(x) = 0$  as a polynomial. Therefore,  $R(x) = R(x-1)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $R(x)$  is a polynomial that takes on certain values for infinitely values of  $x$ . Let  $k$  be such a value. Then  $R(x) - k$  has infinitely many roots, which can occur if and only if  $R(x) - k = 0$ . Therefore,  $R(x)$  is identical to a constant  $k$ . Hence,  $Q(x) = kx(x+1)$  for some constant  $k$ . Therefore,  $P(x) = kx(x+1) + c = kx^2 + kx + c$ .

Finally, we verify that all such  $P(x) = kx(x+1) + c$  work. Substituting this into  $\Lambda$  yield

$$\begin{aligned} & (x+1)(kx(x-1) + c) - (x-1)(kx(x+1) + c) \\ = & kx(x+1)(x-1) + c(x+1) - kx(x+1)(x-1) - c(x-1) = 2c. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $P(x) = kx(x+1) + c = kx^2 + kx + c$  is a solution to the given equation for any constant  $k$ . Note that this solution also holds for  $k = 0$ . Hence, constant polynomials are also solutions to this equation.  $\square$

**Solution 2:** As in Solution 1, any constant polynomial  $P$  satisfies the given property. Hence, we will assume that  $P$  is not a constant polynomial.

Let  $n$  be the degree of  $P$ . Since  $P$  is not constant,  $n \geq 1$ . Let

$$P(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i,$$

with  $a_n \neq 0$ . Then

$$(x+1) \sum_{i=0}^n a_i (x-1)^i - (x-1) \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i = C,$$

for some constant  $C$ . We will compare the coefficient of  $x^n$  of the left-hand side of this equation with the right-hand side. Since  $C$  is a constant and  $n \geq 1$ , the coefficient of  $x^n$  of the right-hand side is equal to zero. We now determine the coefficient of  $x^n$  of the left-hand side of this expression.

The left-hand side of the equation simplifies to

$$x \sum_{i=0}^n a_i (x-1)^i + \sum_{i=0}^n a_i (x-1)^i - x \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i + \sum_{i=0}^n a_i x^i.$$

We will determine the coefficient  $x^n$  of each of these four terms.

By the Binomial Theorem, the coefficient of  $x^n$  of the first term is equal to that of  $x(a_{n-1}(x-1)^{n-1} + a_n(x-1)^n) = a_{n-1} - \binom{n}{n-1}a_n = a_{n-1} - na_n$ .

The coefficient of  $x^n$  of the second term is equal to that of  $a_n(x-1)^n$ , which is  $a_n$ .

The coefficient of  $x^n$  of the third term is equal to  $a_{n-1}$  and that of the fourth term is equal to  $a_n$ .

Summing these four coefficients yield  $a_{n-1} - na_n + a_n - a_{n-1} + a_n = (2-n)a_n$ .

This expression is equal to 0. Since  $a_n \neq 0$ ,  $n = 2$ . Hence,  $P$  is a quadratic polynomial.

Let  $P(x) = ax^2 + bx + c$ , where  $a, b, c$  are real numbers with  $a \neq 0$ . Then

$$(x+1)(a(x-1)^2 + b(x-1) + c) - (x-1)(ax^2 + bx + c) = C.$$

Simplifying the left-hand side yields

$$(b-a)x + 2c = 2C.$$

Therefore,  $b-a = 0$  and  $2c = 2C$ . Hence,  $P(x) = ax^2 + ax + c$ . As in Solution 1, this is a valid solution for all  $a \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ .  $\square$

2. The sequence  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  consists of the numbers  $1, 2, \dots, n$  in some order. For which positive integers  $n$  is it possible that  $0, a_1, a_1 + a_2, \dots, a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n$  all have different remainders when divided by  $n + 1$ ?

**Solution:** It is possible if and only if  $n$  is odd.

If  $n$  is even, then  $a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n = 1 + 2 + \dots + n = \frac{n}{2} \cdot (n + 1)$ , which is congruent to  $0 \pmod{n + 1}$ . Therefore, the task is impossible.

Now suppose  $n$  is odd. We will show that we can construct  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n$  that satisfy the conditions given in the problem. Then let  $n = 2k + 1$  for some non-negative integer  $k$ . Consider the sequence:  $1, 2k, 3, 2k - 2, 5, 2k - 3, \dots, 2, 2k + 1$ , i.e. for each  $1 \leq i \leq 2k + 1$ ,  $a_i = i$  if  $i$  is odd and  $a_i = 2k + 2 - i$  if  $i$  is even.

We first show that each term  $1, 2, \dots, 2k + 1$  appears exactly once. Clearly, there are  $2k + 1$  terms. For each odd number  $m$  in  $\{1, 2, \dots, 2k + 1\}$ ,  $a_m = m$ . For each even number  $m$  in this set,  $a_{2k+2-m} = 2k + 2 - (2k + 2 - m) = m$ . Hence, every number appears in  $a_1, \dots, a_{2k+1}$ . Hence,  $a_1, \dots, a_{2k+1}$  does consist of the numbers  $1, 2, \dots, 2k + 1$  in some order.

We now determine  $a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_m \pmod{2k + 2}$ . We will consider the cases when  $m$  is odd and when  $m$  is even separately. Let  $b_m = a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_m$ .

If  $m$  is odd, note that  $a_1 \equiv 1 \pmod{2k + 2}$ ,  $a_2 + a_3 = a_4 + a_5 = \dots = a_{2k} + a_{2k+1} = 2k + 3 \equiv 1 \pmod{2k + 2}$ . Therefore,  $\{b_1, b_3, \dots, b_{2k+1}\} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots, k + 1\} \pmod{2k + 2}$ .

If  $m$  is even, note that  $a_1 + a_2 = a_3 + a_4 = \dots = a_{2k-1} + a_{2k} = 2k + 1 \equiv -1 \pmod{2k + 2}$ . Therefore,  $\{b_2, b_4, \dots, b_{2k}\} = \{-1, -2, \dots, -k\} \pmod{2k + 2} \equiv \{2k + 1, 2k, \dots, k + 2\} \pmod{2k + 2}$ .

Therefore,  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_{2k+1}$  do indeed have different remainders when divided by  $2k + 2$ . This completes the problem.  $\square$

**3.** Let  $G$  be the centroid of a right-angled triangle  $ABC$  with  $\angle BCA = 90^\circ$ . Let  $P$  be the point on ray  $AG$  such that  $\angle CPA = \angle CAB$ , and let  $Q$  be the point on ray  $BG$  such that  $\angle CQB = \angle ABC$ . Prove that the circumcircles of triangles  $AQG$  and  $BPG$  meet at a point on side  $AB$ .

**Solution 1.** Since  $\angle C = 90^\circ$ , the point  $C$  lies on the semicircle with diameter  $AB$  which implies that, if  $M$  is the midpoint of side  $AB$ , then  $MA = MC = MB$ . This implies that triangle  $AMC$  is isosceles and hence that  $\angle ACM = \angle A$ . By definition,  $G$  lies on segment  $MC$  and it follows that  $\angle ACG = \angle ACM = \angle A = \angle CPA$ . This implies that triangles  $APC$  and  $ACG$  are similar and hence that  $AC^2 = AG \cdot AP$ . Now if  $D$  denotes the foot of the perpendicular from  $C$  to  $AB$ , it follows that triangles  $ACD$  and  $ABC$  are similar which implies that  $AC^2 = AD \cdot AB$ . Therefore  $AG \cdot AP = AC^2 = AD \cdot AB$  and, by power of a point, quadrilateral  $DGPB$  is cyclic. This implies that  $D$  lies on the circumcircle of triangle  $BPG$  and, by a symmetric argument, it follows that  $D$  also lies on the circumcircle of triangle  $AQG$ . Therefore these two circumcircles meet at the point  $D$  on side  $AB$ .

**Solution 2.** Define  $D$  and  $M$  as in Solution 1. Let  $R$  be the point on side  $AB$  such that  $AC = CR$  and triangle  $ACR$  is isosceles. Since  $\angle CRA = \angle A = \angle CPA$ , it follows that  $CPRA$  is cyclic and hence that  $\angle GPR = \angle APR = \angle ACR = 180^\circ - 2\angle A$ . As in Solution 1,  $MC = MB$  and hence  $\angle GMR = \angle CMB = 2\angle A = 180^\circ - \angle GPR$ . Therefore  $GPRM$  is cyclic and, by power of a point,  $AM \cdot AR = AG \cdot AP$ . Since  $ACR$  is isosceles,  $D$  is the midpoint of  $AR$  and thus, since  $M$  is the midpoint of  $AB$ , it follows that  $AM \cdot AR = AD \cdot AB = AG \cdot AP$ . Therefore  $DGPB$  is cyclic, implying the result as in Solution 1.



4. Let  $n$  be a positive integer. For any positive integer  $j$  and positive real number  $r$ , define

$$f_j(r) = \min(jr, n) + \min\left(\frac{j}{r}, n\right), \quad \text{and} \quad g_j(r) = \min(\lceil jr \rceil, n) + \min\left(\left\lceil \frac{j}{r} \right\rceil, n\right),$$

where  $\lceil x \rceil$  denotes the smallest integer greater than or equal to  $x$ . Prove that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n f_j(r) \leq n^2 + n \leq \sum_{j=1}^n g_j(r).$$

**Solution 1:** We first prove the left hand side inequality. We begin by drawing an  $n \times n$  board, with corners at  $(0, 0)$ ,  $(n, 0)$ ,  $(0, n)$  and  $(n, n)$  on the Cartesian plane.

Consider the line  $\ell$  with slope  $r$  passing through  $(0, 0)$ . For each  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , consider the point  $(j, \min(jr, n))$ . Note that each such point either lies on  $\ell$  or the top edge of the board. In the  $j^{\text{th}}$  column from the left, draw the rectangle of height  $\min(jr, n)$ . Note that the sum of the  $n$  rectangles is equal to the area of the board under the line  $\ell$  plus  $n$  triangles (possibly with area 0) each with width at most 1 and whose sum of the heights is at most  $n$ . Therefore, the sum of the areas of these  $n$  triangles is at most  $n/2$ . Therefore,  $\sum_{j=1}^n \min(jr, n)$  is at most the area of the square under  $\ell$  plus  $n/2$ .

Consider the line with slope  $1/r$ . By symmetry about the line  $y = x$ , the area of the square under the line with slope  $1/r$  is equal to the area of the square above the line  $\ell$ . Therefore, using the same reasoning as before,  $\sum_{j=1}^n \min(j/r, n)$  is at most the area of the square above  $\ell$  plus  $n/2$ .

Therefore,  $\sum_{j=1}^n f_j(r) = \sum_{j=1}^n (\min(jr, n) + \min(j/r, n))$  is at most the area of the board plus  $n$ , which is  $n^2 + n$ . This proves the left hand side inequality.

To prove the right hand side inequality, we will use the following lemma:

**Lemma:** Consider the line  $\ell$  with slope  $s$  passing through  $(0, 0)$ . Then the number of squares on the board that contain an interior point below  $\ell$  is  $\sum_{j=1}^n \min(\lceil js \rceil, n)$ .

*Proof of Lemma:* For each  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , we count the number of squares in the  $j^{\text{th}}$  column (from the left) that contain an interior point lying below the line  $\ell$ . The line  $x = j$  intersect the line  $\ell$  at  $(j, js)$ . Hence, since each column contains  $n$  squares

total, the number of such squares is  $\min(\lceil js \rceil, n)$ . Summing over all  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  proves the lemma. *End Proof of Lemma*

By the lemma, the rightmost expression of the inequality is equal to the number of squares containing an interior point below the line with slope  $r$  plus the number of squares containing an interior point below the line with slope  $1/r$ . By symmetry about the line  $y = x$ , the latter number is equal to the number of squares containing an interior point above the line with slope  $r$ . Therefore, the rightmost expression of the inequality is equal to the number of squares of the board plus the number of squares of which  $\ell$  passes through the interior. The former is equal to  $n^2$ . Hence, to prove the inequality, it suffices to show that every line passes through the interior of at least  $n$  squares. Since  $\ell$  has positive slope, each  $\ell$  passes through either  $n$  rows and/or  $n$  columns. In either case,  $\ell$  passes through the interior of at least  $n$  squares. Hence, the right inequality holds.  $\square$

**Solution 2:** We first prove the left inequality. Define the function  $f(r) = \sum_{j=1}^n f_j(r)$ . Note that  $f(r) = f(1/r)$  for all  $r > 0$ . Therefore, we may assume that  $r \geq 1$ .

Let  $m = \lfloor n/r \rfloor$ , where  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  denotes the largest integer less than or equal to  $x$ . Then  $\min(jr, n) = jr$  for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  and  $\min(jr, n) = n$  for all  $j \in \{m+1, \dots, n\}$ . Note that since  $r \geq 1$ ,  $\min(j/r, n) \leq n$  for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} f(r) &= \sum_{j=1}^n f_j(r) = (1 + 2 + \dots + m)r + (n - m)n + (1 + 2 + \dots + n) \cdot \frac{1}{r} \\ &= \frac{m(m+1)}{2} \cdot r + \frac{n(n+1)}{2} \cdot \frac{1}{r} + n(n-m) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Then by (??), note that  $f(r) \leq n^2 + n$  if and only if

$$\frac{m(m+1)r}{2} + \frac{n(n+1)}{2r} \leq n(m+1)$$

if and only if

$$m(m+1)r^2 + n(n+1) \leq 2rn(m+1) \quad (2)$$

Since  $m = \lfloor n/r \rfloor$ , there exist a real number  $b$  satisfying  $0 \leq b < r$  such that  $n = mr + b$ . Substituting this into (??) yields

$$m(m+1)r^2 + (mr+b)(mr+b+1) \leq 2r(mr+b)(m+1),$$

if and only if

$$2m^2r^2 + mr^2 + (2mb + m)r + b^2 + b \leq 2m^2r^2 + 2mr^2 + 2mbr + 2br,$$

which simplifies to  $mr + b^2 + b \leq mr^2 + 2br \Leftrightarrow b(b + 1 - 2r) \leq mr(r - 1) \Leftrightarrow b((b - r) + (1 - r)) \leq mr(r - 1)$ . This is true since

$$b((b - r) + (1 - r)) \leq 0 \leq mr(r - 1),$$

which holds since  $r \geq 1$  and  $b < r$ . Therefore, the left inequality holds.

We now prove the right inequality. Define the function  $g(r) = \sum_{j=1}^n = g_j(r)$ . Note that  $g(r) = g(1/r)$  for all  $r > 0$ . Therefore, we may assume that  $r \geq 1$ . We will consider two cases;  $r \geq n$  and  $1 \leq r < n$ .

If  $r \geq n$ , then  $\min(\lceil jr \rceil, n) = n$  and  $\min(\lceil j/r \rceil, n) = 1$  for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Hence,  $g_j(r) = n + 1$  for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Therefore,  $g(r) = n(n + 1) = n^2 + n$ , implying that the inequality is true.

Now we consider the case  $1 \leq r < n$ . Let  $m = \lfloor n/r \rfloor$ . Hence,  $jr \leq n$  for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ , i.e.  $\min(\lceil jr \rceil, n) = \lceil jr \rceil$  and  $jr \geq n$  for all  $j \in \{m + 1, \dots, n\}$ , i.e.  $\min(\lceil jr \rceil, n) = n$ . Therefore,

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \min(\lceil jr \rceil, n) = \sum_{j=1}^m \lceil jr \rceil + (n - m)n. \quad (3)$$

We will now consider the second sum  $\sum_{j=1}^n \min\{\lceil j/r \rceil, n\}$ .

Since  $r \geq 1$ ,  $\min(\lceil j/r \rceil, n) \leq \min(\lceil n/r \rceil, n) \leq n$ . Therefore,  $\min(\lceil j/r \rceil, n) = \lceil j/r \rceil$ . Since  $m = \lfloor n/r \rfloor$ ,  $\lceil n/r \rceil \leq m + 1$ . Since  $r > 1$ ,  $m < n$ , which implies that  $m + 1 \leq n$ . Therefore,  $\min\{\lceil j/r \rceil, n\} = \lceil j/r \rceil \leq \lceil n/r \rceil \leq m + 1$  for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ .

For each positive integer  $k \in \{1, \dots, m + 1\}$ , we now determine the number of positive integers  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $\lceil j/r \rceil = k$ . We denote this number by  $s_k$ .

Note that  $\lceil j/r \rceil = k$  if and only if  $k - 1 < j/r \leq k$  if and only if  $(k - 1)r < j \leq \min(kr, n)$ , since  $j \leq n$ . We will handle the cases  $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$  and  $k = m + 1$  separately. If  $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ , then  $\min(kr, n) = kr$ , since  $r \leq m$  and  $m = \lfloor n/r \rfloor$ .



The set of positive integers  $j$  satisfying  $(k-1)r < j \leq kr$  is  $\{[(k-1)r] + 1, [(k-1)r] + 2, \dots, [kr]\}$ . Hence,

$$s_k = [rk] - ([r(k-1)] + 1) + 1 = [rk] - [r(k-1)]$$

for all  $k \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ . If  $k = m+1$ , then  $(k-1)r < j \leq \min(kr, n) = n$ . The set of positive integers  $j$  satisfying  $(k-1)r < j \leq kr$  is  $\{[(k-1)r] + 1, \dots, n\}$ . Then  $s_{m+1} = n - [r(k-1)] = n - [rm]$ . Note that this number is non-negative by the definition of  $m$ . Therefore, by the definition of  $s_k$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{j=1}^n \min\left(\left\lceil \frac{j}{r} \right\rceil, n\right) &= \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} ks_k \\
 &= \sum_{k=1}^m (k([kr] - [(k-1)r])) + (m+1)(n - [rm]) = (m+1)n - \sum_{k=1}^m [kr].
 \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

Summing (??) and (??) yields that

$$g(r) = n^2 + n + \sum_{j=1}^m ([jr] - [jr]) \geq n^2 + n,$$

which proves the right inequality.  $\square$

5. Let  $O$  denote the circumcentre of an acute-angled triangle  $ABC$ . A circle  $\Gamma$  passing through vertex  $A$  intersects segments  $AB$  and  $AC$  at points  $P$  and  $Q$  such that  $\angle BOP = \angle ABC$  and  $\angle COQ = \angle ACB$ . Prove that the reflection of  $BC$  in the line  $PQ$  is tangent to  $\Gamma$ .

**Solution.** Let the circumcircle of triangle  $OBP$  intersect side  $BC$  at the points  $R$  and  $B$  and let  $\angle A$ ,  $\angle B$  and  $\angle C$  denote the angles at vertices  $A$ ,  $B$  and  $C$ , respectively.

Now note that since  $\angle BOP = \angle B$  and  $\angle COQ = \angle C$ , it follows that

$$\angle POQ = 360^\circ - \angle BOP - \angle COQ - \angle BOC = 360^\circ - (180 - \angle A) - 2\angle A = 180^\circ - \angle A.$$

This implies that  $APOQ$  is a cyclic quadrilateral. Since  $BPOR$  is cyclic,

$$\angle QOR = 360^\circ - \angle POQ - \angle POR = 360^\circ - (180^\circ - \angle A) - (180^\circ - \angle B) = 180^\circ - \angle C.$$

This implies that  $CQOR$  is a cyclic quadrilateral. Since  $APOQ$  and  $BPOR$  are cyclic,

$$\angle QPR = \angle QPO + \angle OPR = \angle OAQ + \angle OBR = (90^\circ - \angle B) + (90^\circ - \angle A) = \angle C.$$

Since  $CQOR$  is cyclic,  $\angle QRC = \angle COQ = \angle C = \angle QPR$  which implies that the circumcircle of triangle  $PQR$  is tangent to  $BC$ . Further, since  $\angle PRB = \angle BOP = \angle B$ ,

$$\angle PRQ = 180^\circ - \angle PRB - \angle QRC = 180^\circ - \angle B - \angle C = \angle A = \angle PAQ.$$

This implies that the circumcircle of  $PQR$  is the reflection of  $\Gamma$  in line  $PQ$ . By symmetry in line  $PQ$ , this implies that the reflection of  $BC$  in line  $PQ$  is tangent to  $\Gamma$ .